For official use only (date received): 26/05/2024 18:21:24

## The Planning Inspectorate

## COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

## **Appeal Reference: APP/J0405/W/24/3339126**

DETAILS OF THE C	CASE		
Appeal Reference	APP/J0405/W/24	/3339126	
Appeal By	RICHBOROUGH I	ESTATES	
Site Address	land at Churchway Haddenham Buckinghamshire HP17 8JX Grid Ref Easting: 474447 Grid Ref Northing: 209576		
SENDER DETAILS			
Name	MR RICHARD HII	RST	
Address	16 Townsend Haddenham AYLESBURY Bucks HP17 8JW		
Company/Group/Organisation Name Haddenham Village Society			
ABOUT YOUR COM	IMENTS		
In what capacity do y	ou wish to make repr	resentations on this case?	
☐ Appellant ☐ Agent ☑ Interested Party / ☐ Land Owner ☐ Rule 6 (6)	Person		
What kind of represe	ntation are you makin	ıg?	
<ul><li>□ Final Comments</li><li>□ Proof of Evidence</li><li>□ Statement</li></ul>			

	Statement of Common Ground
$\checkmark$	Interested Party/Person Correspondence
	Other

## YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

- 1. Haddenham Village Society, membership of which comprises over 300 households within the village, is against the appeal proposals for all the reasons previously submitted to the Local Planning Authority.
- 2. The proposed development would have a major adverse impact on the village in further overwhelming the limited village resources, particularly with respect to schools and medical facilities, in exacerbating existing problems with traffic through the village and parking near the schools and station, and in extending the existing clear village boundary with harm to the character and appearance of the area. If approved, this appeal would lead to leap-frogging of additional applications with further loss of countryside and further pressure on resources and traffic within the village.
- 3. The proposed development is in an area which is not allocated in the VALP, which was judged in the HELAA as 'unsuitable for development', is on 'best and most versatile agricultural land' and would be contrary to VALP Policies S3 and NE7, as well as NPPF paragraph 174.
- 4. Haddenham Village Society strongly urges the Inspector to reject this appeal